

Robert A. Sacks (SBN 150146)
sacksr@sullcrom.com
Rory P. Culver (SBN 271868)
culverr@sullcrom.com
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
1888 Century Park East, Suite 2100
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel.: (310) 712-6600
Fax: (310) 712-8800

Laura Kabler Oswell (SBN 241281)
oswelll@sullcrom.com
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
1870 Embarcadero Road
Palo Alto, California 94303
Tel.: (650) 461-5600
Fax: (650) 461-5700

Attorneys for Intervenor Thomson
Consumer Electronics, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 07-5944-SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

*Electrograph Systems, Inc., et al. v. Hitachi,
Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-01656;

*Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit
City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust v. Hitachi,
Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05502;

*Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et
al.*, No. 11-cv-05513;

*Target Corp, et al. v. Chunghwa Picture
Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05514;

Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al., No.
11-cv-06276;

**[PROPOSED] REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION GRANTING
MOTION OF THOMSON CONSUMER
ELECTRONICS, INC. TO INTERVENE**

Date: May 1, 2013

Time: 9:30 a.m.

JAMS: Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1500

Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti

Special Master: Hon. Charles A. Legge (Ret.)

**[MOTION TO INTERVENE AND
DECLARATION OF LAURA KABLER
OSWELL IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO
INTERVENE FILED CONCURRENTLY
HEREWITH]**

1 *CompuCom Systems, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et*)
2 *al.*, No. 11-cv-06396;)
3 *Costco Wholesale Corporation v. Hitachi,*)
4 *Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-06397;)
5 *P.C. Richard & Son Long Island*)
6 *Corporation, et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No.)
7 12-cv-02648; and)
8)
9 *Schultze Agency Services, LLC, et al. v.*)
10 *Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 12-cv-02649.)
11)
12)
13)
14)
15)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

1 TO THE HONORABLE SAMUEL CONTI, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

2 On April 9, 2013, Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc. ("Thomson Consumer") filed its
3 Motion to Intervene. In the Motion to Intervene, Thomson Consumer seeks the Court's permission to
4 intervene in the above-titled action for the limited purpose of opposing the Direct Action Plaintiffs'
5 ("Plaintiffs") Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaints (Dkt. No. 1609), which proposes to add
6 Thomson Consumer as a defendant in this action.

7 Having carefully considered the briefs and other submissions of the parties, as well as
8 oral arguments by counsel at the hearing, the Special Master recommends that the Motion to Intervene
9 should be GRANTED.

10
11 DATED: _____

Hon. Charles A. Legge
United States District Judge (Ret.)
Special Master

14
15 The Report and Recommendations are Accepted and Ordered / Denied / Modified.

16 DATED: _____

Hon. Samuel Conti
United States District Judge